

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/627,625	Applicant(s) VAN GENT, PIETER MAARTEN	
	Examiner Bruce F. Bell	Art Unit 1795	

All Participants:

 (1) Bruce F. Bell.

 (2) Mr. Roland Long.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 September 2008
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Murphy et al (4964964) in view of Kumar (6299745) with respect to claim 13.

Claims discussed:

Claims 13, 17, 19 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Murphy et al (4964964) in view of Kumar (6299745)
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bruce F. Bell/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the incorporation of claim 17 into claim 13 to place the application in condition for allowance over the prior art of record and the cancellation of claim 17 and the correction of dependency of claim 19 and the deletion of the tensile pretension element of claim 20 from that claim since that feature was incorporated into claim 13 on which claim 20 depends. .